

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,669	01/08/2004	Donald C. Roe	7537CQ	1141
27752 THE PROCTE	7590 09/01/200 ER & GAMBLE COMP	EXAM	IINER	
Global Legal Department - IP Sycamore Building - 4th Floor 290 East Sixth Street			STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/753,669 ROE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jacqueline F. Stephens 3761 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.139(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (f) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.					
 If NO period for repy is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to repy within the set or extended period for repy will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patter term adjustment. See 30 FCR 17/040 in. 					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/22/09.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-52 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11, 13-2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (RTO 902)					

1)	Δ	Notice

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SD/06)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application (FTO-152)
Paper No(e)/Mail Date	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/753,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/22/09 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 6/22/09 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant repeats the argument that Everhart does not teach or suggest a biosensor including at least one bio-recognition element. Applicant repeats the argument that Everhart teaches a chemically reactive means that is unspecified except for two examples. In one example the chemically reactive means is described as adapted to give a visual indication of glucose concentration in urine. In the other example, another chemically reactive means is described as adapted to detect nitrite in urine. Applicant has defined a biosensor in the specification as being able to detect one or more target pathogenic microorganisms such as an enyzme sensor, organella sensor, tissue sensor, microorganism sensor, immunosensor, or electrochemical sensor. Everhart teaches the chemically reactive means in an enzyme sensor (col. 3,

Application/Control Number: 10/753,669

Art Unit: 3761

lines 59-61). However, Applicant argues the Examiner's broad interepetation of Applicant's claim term "biosensor" such that it reads onto Everhart's 'chemically reactive means' is inconsistent with the description of biosensor in the specification. Applicant, however, has not claimed any specific structure or provided a limitation that differentiates the chemically reactive means of Everhart from the claimed biosensor. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In response to the argument regarding the term 'enzyme sensor' the Examiner interprets that as any mechanism that detects an enzyme, which Everhart teaches as discussed above and Applicant has not claimed an enzyme sensor or biosensor that comprises an enzyme. Everhart further teaches the biosensor includes at least one bio-recognition element comprising a biologically derived material as discussed below. Additionally, Everhart teaches the chemical reactive means provides a visual signal of detection of the one or more pathogenic microorganisms (Abstract, col. 3 lines 53-57). Therefore, the rejection under Evherhart and Al-Sabah is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/753,669

Art Unit: 3761

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 1-11, 15-19, 21-25, 36-39, and 40-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Everhart et al. USPN 5468236.

As to claims 1-10 and 40-47, Everhart teaches a disposable absorbent article comprising a sensor for detecting analytes in bodily waste or on a user's skin. Everhart discloses a disposable article comprising a topsheet 14, a backsheet 12, and an absorbent core 16 as claimed ('236 Figure 2). Everhart disclose the target analyte may include a health or nutritional marker ('236 col. 3, lines 10-29), which may be an enzyme, endogenous secretion, proteinaceous matter, or microorganism (col. 3, lines 56-65). Everhart generally teaches a chemically reactive means (biosensor) that reacts with a substance present in bodily excrement. Everhart teaches the chemically reactive means includes at least one bio-recognition element comprising a biologically derived material in that Everhart teaches an example of the chemically reactive means having

Application/Control Number: 10/753,669

Art Unit: 3761

GOD (Glucose oxidase), POD (peroxidase), and TMB (tetramethlybenzidine) for placement in an infant diaper (col. 8, lines 19-32). Glucose oxidase is an enzyme that is commonly used in biosensors as taught in Hijikihigawa et al. USPN 5140393. The chemical reactive means provides a visual signal of detection of the one or more pathogenic microorganisms (Abstract, col. 3 lines 53-57). Everhart teaches a chemically reactive substance acting upon mammalian bodily excrement that provides the types of substance present and an estimate of the concentration of the substance (Everhart col. 3, lines 21-29).

Regarding claim 11, the invention of Everhart provides a visual indication of a substance upon reacting with body excrement. Body excrement, particularly perspiration, may not necessarily provide clinically observable symptoms, such as a skin rash. In this manner, the invention of Everhart would provide information to the wearer prior to clinically visible symptoms.

Regarding claims 15-19, the sensor provides a signal to the wearer, caregiver, or an actuator ('236 Abstract) as broadly as claimed.

Regarding claims 21 and 23, the sensor is affixed to a substrate ('236, col. 6, lines 4-6).

Regarding claims 22 and 24, the sensor is detachable from the article ('236 col. 6, lines 26-32).

Application/Control Number: 10/753,669
Art Unit: 3761

Regarding claims 25 and 48, the sensor may adhere to a wearer's skin ('236, Abstract).

Regarding claims 36-39 and 49-52, Everhart describes a biosensor for detecting a target analyte upon excretion of bodily fluids or waste materials. Everhart provides a response within a period of time that stays valid until the article is discarded. The response factor would have been obvious by optimizing the type of biosensor materials since the invention of Everhart is used in the same environment and problem that is solved is the same as the claimed invention. Moreover, discovering optimum values only involves routine skill in the art, In re Boesch, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 FUSPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claims 28-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Everhart et al. USPN 5468236 in view of Al-Sabah USPN 5868723.

As to claims 28-31, Everhart disclose the present invention substantially as claimed. However, Everhart fail to disclose the disposable article has an actuator. Al-Sabah discloses an absorbent article comprising an actuator 43, which comprises a power source 42(Figure 7). The actuator performs a responsive function when the sensor detects an input (Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate an actuator as taught in Al-Sabah to the sensor apparatus of Everhart. Doing so would provide a means for alerting the user or a health care professional of the presence of the target analyte.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,669

Art Unit: 3761

As to claims 32 and 33, Everhart/Al-Sabah teaches a receiver integral with the article (Al-Sabah col. 5, lines 35-37).

As to claim 34 and 35, Everhart/Al-Sabah teaches a transmitter (Al-Sabah col. 5, lines 1-4).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,669 Page 8

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jacqueline F Stephens/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761